

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)
 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800
 Las Vegas, NV 89101
 Telephone: (702) 382-7300
 Facsimile: (702) 382-2755
 rpocker@bsflp.com

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN
 KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG
 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON
 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
 sholtzman@bsflp.com
 kringgenberg@bsflp.com
 brichardson@bsflp.com
(will comply with LR IA 10-2 within 45 days)

Attorneys for Counterclaimant Oracle
 America, Inc. and Defendant and
 Counterclaimant Oracle International Corp.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 THOMAS S. HIXSON
 JOHN A. POLITO
 NITIN JINDAL
 Three Embarcadero Center
 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
 Telephone: 415.393.2000
 Facsimile: 415.393.2286
 thomas.hixson@morganlewis.com
 john.polito@morganlewis.com
 nitin.jindal@morganlewis.com
(will comply with LR IA 10-2 within 45 days)

DORIAN DALEY
 DEBORAH K. MILLER
 JAMES C. MAROULIS
 ORACLE CORPORATION
 500 Oracle Parkway
 M/S 5op7
 Redwood City, CA 94070
 Telephone: 650.506.4846
 Facsimile: 650.506.7114
 dorian.daley@oracle.com
 deborah.miller@oracle.com
 jim.maroulis@oracle.com
(will comply with LR IA 10-2 within 45 days)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;

 Plaintiff,

 v.

 ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
 a California corporation,

 Defendant.

ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware
 corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
 CORPORATION, a California corporation,

 Counterclaimants,

 v.

 RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
 SETH RAVIN, an individual,

 Counterdefendants.

Case No 2:14-cv-01699 LDG VCF

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
 AND COUNTERCLAIMANTS'
 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
 UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF
 COUNTERCLAIMS, ANSWER, AND
 JURY DEMAND**

ORDER

Pending before this Court is Defendant's and Counterclaimants' (together, "Oracle") Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Portions of Oracle's Counterclaims, Answer, and Jury Demand. To date, no opposition has been filed. Under Local Rule 7-2(d), [t]he failure of a moving party to file points and authorities in support of the motion shall constitute a consent to the denial of the motion. The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion. Here, it would seem that Plaintiff has consented to granting this motion. Having considered Oracle's Motion to Seal:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Oracle's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. Oracle's Counterclaims, Answer, and Jury Demand (#22) may remain sealed.

DATED this 9th day of March, 2015.



CAM FERENBACH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE